## REMARKS

In the Office Action issued July 25, 2008, there was an objection to the drawings and the specification was objected to because it recited an incorrect filing date for the provisional application to which the present application claims priority. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 14 and 15 were objected for various informalities. Claims 14, 15, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 14, 15 and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim what the applicants regards as the invention. Lastly, claims 14, 15 and 18-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 14, 15 and 18-20 are currently pending in the application.

The Applicants would like to than the Examiner for indicating that the subject matter of 1, 3, 4 and 6-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the above identified objections and rejections. The Applicants have provided Replacement Sheets for Figs 3-4. The Applicants have amended claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 14, and 18-20 to overcome the objections and rejections specified above. Accordingly, claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-11 are now in condition for allowance. The Examiner did not indicate that the subject matter of claims 14, 15 and 18-20 was allowable. The Applicant believes that these claims are also allowable because they recite subject matter similar to claims 1 and 6.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to be in form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Reply to Office action of July 25, 2008

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 50-4545 (5231-155-US01)

## Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chadwick A. Jackson

Reg. No. 46,495

Date: August 11, 2008

Hanify & King, Professional Corporation Intellectual Property Law Department 1875 K St, N.W.

Suite 707

Washington, D.C. 20006

Direct: (202) 403-2102

Fax: (202) 429-4380